

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/391,781	09/08/1999	GEORGE W. PALMER	99CR107/KE	9067
7590 11/16/2004			EXAMINER	
ROCKWELL COLLINS INC ATTENTION KYLE EPPELE 400 COLLINS ROAD NE		HAILU, TADESSE		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA 52498			2173	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/391,781	PALMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	T :)
Tadesse Hailu	2173	pr

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: none.
Claim(s) objected to: none.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-12,14,17,18,21 and 22</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210
1 # Other -

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as set forth in the last Office Action, the examiner has given responses to the applicant's arguments. The applicat argues that the prior art of recordes does not teach the limitations cited in claims 1-4, 6-12, 14, 17-18, and 21-22. In contrast to the Applicant's arguments, Briffe in view of Brunts teaches "said graphical user interface returns a display shown on sid display to a pre-existing display, without user input, upon a passage of time." as claimed in claim 1. (see the previous Final Office action). Briffe in view of Brunts also teaches "... a predetermined manual manipulation of the radio control causes a cursor to move to a predetermined position of said display,..." as claimed in claim 7. (see the Final Office action). The prior art of records further teahes " said graphical user interface provides an increased size of an existing view of a predetermined radio function when the cursor is manipulated in a predetermined position on said display." as claimed in claim 8. (see the Final Office action). The rest of the arguments are similar to the above calims.